

Kinetic Equations

Solution to the Exercises

– 15.04.2021 –

Teachers: Prof. Chiara Saffirio, Dr. Théophile Dolmaire

Assistant: Dr. Daniele Dimonte – daniele.dimonte@unibas.ch

Recall the Vlasov Equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + E \cdot \nabla_v f = 0, \\ E(t, x) := -\nabla \left(\frac{1}{|x|} * \rho_t \right) (x), \\ \rho_t(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, v) dv, \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Exercise 1

Let $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Prove that f satisfies

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} f(y + tv, w) \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3)), \quad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Notice that if $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, then there exists a constant M such that if we denote $\chi_M := \chi_{B_M(0)}$ we get

$$|f(x, v)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \chi_M(x) \chi_M(v), \quad (4)$$

$$|\nabla f(x, v)| \leq \|\nabla f\|_\infty \chi_M(x) \chi_M(v). \quad (5)$$

In particular this implies that

$$|f(y + tv, w)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \chi_M(y + tv) \chi_M(w), \quad (6)$$

$$|\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \leq \|\nabla f\|_\infty \chi_M(y + tv) \chi_M(w). \quad (7)$$

If $w \in B_R(v)$ and $w \in B_M(0)$, then $v \in B_{R+M}(0)$, and therefore, for any $w \in B_R(v)$ we get

$$|f(y + tv, w)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \chi_M(y + tv) \chi_{R+M}(v), \quad (8)$$

$$|\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \leq \|\nabla f\|_\infty \chi_M(y + tv) \chi_{R+M}(v). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand we have $|x| \leq |x - y| + |y + tv| + |tv|$, therefore if $y \in B_R(x)$, $w \in B_R(v)$, $w \in B_M(0)$ and $y + tv \in B_M(0)$ we get $x \in B_{(1+t)(R+M)}(0)$.

As a consequence, for any $y \in B_R(x)$ and $w \in B_R(v)$ we have

$$|f(y + tv, w)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \chi_{(1+t)(R+M)}(x) \chi_{R+M}(v), \quad (10)$$

$$|\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \leq \|\nabla f\|_\infty \chi_{(1+t)(R+M)}(x) \chi_{R+M}(v). \quad (11)$$

This allows us to conclude

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} f(y + tv, w) \right| dv \leq \quad (12)$$

$$\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3} \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{(1+t)(R+M)}(x) \chi_{R+M}(v) dv \quad (13)$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{3} \pi \|f\|_\infty (R + M)^3, \quad (14)$$

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \right| dv \leq \quad (15)$$

$$\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3} \|\nabla f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{(1+t)(R+M)}(x) \chi_{R+M}(v) dv \quad (16)$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{3} \pi \|\nabla f\|_\infty (R + M)^3, \quad (17)$$

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \right|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \quad (18)$$

$$\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3} \|\nabla f\|_\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{(1+t)(R+M)}(x) \chi_{R+M}(v) dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (19)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} \pi \|\nabla f\|_\infty (R + M)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (20)$$

□

Exercise 2

Let f be a solution of the Vlasov equation (1) such that $\rho \in L^\infty((0, T); L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and with f_0 such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} f_0(y + tv, w) \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3)), \quad (21)$$

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f_0(y + tv, w)| \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)). \quad (22)$$

Prove that

$$\nabla_v f(t, x, v) \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)) \quad (23)$$

Hint: Recall that we saw in class that under this hypotheses there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $E \in L^\infty((0, T); C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and use the explicit formula for f given through the characteristics of the problem.

Proof. Recall that if we define $X(s, t, z)$, $V(s, t, z)$ as the characteristics associated to the Vlasov equation such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s X(s, t, z) = V(s, t, z), \\ \partial_s X(s, t, z) = E(s, X(s, t, z)), \\ Z(t, t, z) = z, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

with $z = (x, v)$ and $Z = (X, V)$. Then, the solution f can be written as $f(t, z) = f_0(Z(0, t, z))$ and we can get

$$\nabla_v f(t, z) = \nabla_v (f_0(Z(0, t, z))) \quad (25)$$

$$= \nabla_v X(0, t, z) \cdot (\nabla_x f_0)(Z(0, t, z)) + \nabla_v V(0, t, z) \cdot (\nabla_v f_0)(Z(0, t, z)). \quad (26)$$

Now, on the one hand we get

$$X(s, t, z) = x - \int_s^t V(r, t, z) dr = x - (t - s)v + \int_s^t (r - s) E(r, X(r, t, z)) dr, \quad (27)$$

$$V(s, t, z) = v - \int_s^t E(r, X(r, t, z)) dr. \quad (28)$$

Differentiating in v on both sides we get

$$\nabla_v X(s, t, z) = -(t - s) \text{id} - \int_s^t (r - s) \nabla_v X(r, t, z) (\nabla_x E)(r, X(r, t, z)) dr, \quad (29)$$

$$\nabla_v V(s, t, z) = \text{id} - \int_s^t \nabla_v X(s, t, z) (\nabla_x E)(r, X(r, t, z)) dr. \quad (30)$$

Given that $E \in L^\infty((0, T); C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ we get

$$\sup_z |\nabla_v X(s, t, z)| \leq t - s + T \|\nabla_x E\|_\infty \int_s^t \sup_z |\nabla_v X(r, t, z)| dr. \quad (31)$$

Using a Grönwall-like argument we get

$$\sup_z |\nabla_v X(s, t, z)| \leq (t - s) \left(1 + e^{T \|\nabla_x E\|_\infty (t-s)}\right) \leq T \left(1 + e^{T^2 \|\nabla_x E\|_\infty}\right), \quad (32)$$

and therefore

$$\|\nabla_v X\|_\infty \leq T \left(1 + e^{T^2 \|\nabla_x E\|_\infty}\right) \quad (33)$$

$$\|\nabla_v V\|_\infty \leq 1 + T^2 \|\nabla_x E\|_\infty \left(1 + e^{T^2 \|\nabla_x E\|_\infty}\right). \quad (34)$$

Moreover we get

$$|X(0, t, z) - (x - tv)| \leq \|E\|_\infty \frac{T^2}{2}, \quad |V(0, t, z) - v| \leq \|E\|_\infty T. \quad (35)$$

Therefore, we get that $X(0, t, z) - tv \in B_{\frac{\|E\|_\infty}{2} T^2}(x)$ and $V(0, t, z) \in B_{\|E\|_\infty T}(v)$ and therefore there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla_v f(t, x, v)| = |\nabla_v (f_0(X(0, t, z), V(0, t, z)))| \quad (36)$$

$$\leq |\nabla_v X(0, t, z) (\nabla_x f_0)(Z(0, t, z))| \quad (37)$$

$$+ |\nabla_v V(0, t, z) (\nabla_v f_0)(Z(0, t, z))| \quad (38)$$

$$\leq C |(\nabla_v f_0)(X(0, t, z), V(0, t, z))| \quad (39)$$

$$\leq C |(\nabla_v f_0)((X(0, t, z) - tv) + tv, V(0, t, z))| \quad (40)$$

$$\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f_0(y + tv, w)|. \quad (41)$$

This allows us to conclude. □

Exercise 3

Let N be a positive integer number and consider ϕ a bounded, continuous and even potential and $\{(x_j, v_j)\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Define $\{(x_j(t), v_j(t))\}_{j=1}^N$ as the family of solutions of the Newton equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t x_j(t) = v_j(t), \\ \partial_t v_j(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla \phi(x_j(t) - x_k(t)), \\ x_j(0) = x_j, \\ v_j(0) = v_j. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Consider the measure μ_0 and μ_t given as¹

$$\mu_0(x, v) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - x_j) \delta(v - v_j), \quad (44)$$

$$\mu_t(x, v) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - x_j(t)) \delta(v - v_j(t)). \quad (45)$$

Prove that μ_t is a weak solution of (1).

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. First of all we notice that

$$\langle \mu_t, \varphi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi(x, v) \delta(x - x_j(t)) \delta(v - v_j(t)) dx dv \quad (46)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi(x_j(t), v_j(t)). \quad (47)$$

¹Notice that the notation $\delta(x - x_0)$ indicates the delta at the point x_0 and justifies the notation

$$\int_A \delta(x - x_0) dx = \begin{cases} 1, & x_0 \in A, \\ 0, & x_0 \notin A, \end{cases} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(x) \delta(x - x_0) dx = \varphi(x_0). \quad (43)$$

Given that x_j and v_j are continuous for any $j = 1, \dots, N$, we get that $t \mapsto \langle \mu_t, \varphi \rangle$ is continuous. Moreover we get

$$\partial_t \langle \mu_t, \varphi \rangle := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_t [\varphi(x_j(t), v_j(t))] \quad (48)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left[v_j(t) \cdot (\nabla_x \varphi)(x_j(t), v_j(t)) \right. \quad (49)$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla \phi(x_j(t) - x_k(t)) \cdot (\nabla_v \varphi)(x_j(t), v_j(t)) \right]. \quad (50)$$

Now, on the one hand we have

$$\langle v \cdot \nabla_x \mu_t, \varphi \rangle = -\langle \mu_t, \operatorname{div}_x (v \varphi) \rangle = -\langle \mu_t, v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \rangle \quad (51)$$

$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi(x, v) \delta(x - x_j(t)) \delta(v - v_j(t)) dx dv \quad (52)$$

$$= - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N v_j(t) \cdot (\nabla_x \varphi)(x_j(t), v_j(t)), \quad (53)$$

which cancel the summation in (49). On the other hand, the density associated to μ_t is given by

$$\rho_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mu_t(x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - x_j(t)) \delta(v - v_j(t)) dv \quad (54)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - x_j(t)). \quad (55)$$

Therefore, we get that the electric field is of the form

$$E(t, x) = -\nabla(\phi * \rho_t)(x) = -((\nabla \phi) * \rho_t)(x) \quad (56)$$

$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \nabla \phi(x - y) \delta(y - x_j(t)) dy \quad (57)$$

$$= - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \nabla \phi(x - x_j(t)). \quad (58)$$

Finally, this implies

$$\langle E(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla_v \mu_t, \varphi \rangle = -\langle \mu_t, \operatorname{div}_v (E(t, \cdot) \varphi) \rangle = -\langle \mu_t, E(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla_v \varphi \rangle \quad (59)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla \phi(x - x_k(t)) \right) \cdot \nabla_v \varphi(x, v) \delta(x - x_j(t)) \delta(v - v_j(t)) dx dv \quad (60)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} \nabla \phi(x_j(t) - x_k(t)) \cdot (\nabla_v \varphi)(x_j(t), v_j(t)). \quad (62)$$

This allows us to conclude that μ_t is a solution of

$$\partial_t \mu_t + v \cdot \nabla_x \mu_t + E \cdot \nabla_v \mu_t = 0. \tag{63}$$

□